## Basic declarative semantics of concurrency Homework assignment

December 20, 2023

#### Abstract

This assignment is dedicated to the declarative semantics of weak memory models. Its goal is to reinforce the concepts of executions graphs, various relations on these graphs, and the consistency predicates.

#### Introduction

Recall the definition of the *execution graphs*.

**Definition 1.** An execution graph G is a tuple  $\langle E, po, rf, co \rangle$ , which components are defined as follows.

- $\mathbf{E} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \text{Tid} \times \text{Lab}$  is a set of events. Event  $e = \langle n, t, \ell \rangle$  is a triple, where:
  - -n is an event identifier;
  - -t is a thread identifier;
  - $-\ell$  is a label.
- $po \subseteq E \times E$  is the *program order* relation. It is a strict partial order that totally orders all the events within a single thread.
- $rf \subseteq [W]; =_{loc} \cap =_{val}; [R]$  is the *reads-from* relation. This relation connects each write event with the read events that read value from it.

•  $co \subseteq [W]; =_{loc}; [W]$  is the *coherence order* relation. This is a strict partial order that totally orders all write operations to the same location.

The definition of labels is the same as was given in previous assignment, except we added the access mode parameter. A label  $\ell \in \mathsf{Lab}$  can be one of the following:

- R<sup>o</sup>(x, v) is a read label of value v ∈ Val from memory location x ∈ Loc with access mode o ∈ Mod;
- W<sup>o</sup>(x, v) is a write label of value v ∈ Val into memory location x ∈ Loc with access mode o ∈ Mod;
- $\mathbf{F}^{o}$  is a fence label with mode  $o \in \mathsf{Mod}$ .

Access mode can be either: *non-atomic* na, *relaxed mode* rlx, *acquire* mode acq, *release* mode rel, or *sequentially consistent mode* sc.

 $\mathsf{Mod} \triangleq \{\mathsf{na}, \mathsf{rlx}, \mathsf{acq}, \mathsf{rel}, \mathsf{sc}\}.$ 

Access modes are partially ordered by their strength as the following diagram demonstrates:

We also define several *derived* relations on execution graphs.

rb	$\underline{\triangleq}$	$rf^{-1};co$	reads-before
eco	$\triangleq$	$({\tt co} \cup {\tt rf} \cup {\tt rb})^+$	extended coherence order
SW	$\triangleq$	$[\mathtt{W}^{\mathtt{rel}\sqsubseteq}]; \mathtt{rf}; [\mathtt{R}^{\mathtt{acq}\sqsubseteq}]$	synchronizes-with
hb	$\triangleq$	$(\texttt{po} \cup \texttt{sw})^+$	happens-before

In the context of this assignment, we assume that the relation  $(po \cup rf)^+$  is acyclic (*i.e.*, it is a strict partial order). As a consequence, relation **hb** is also acyclic, because  $hb \subseteq (po \cup rf)^+$ .

Recall the definition of the simplified C/C++ memory model, discussed on the lecture. An execution graph G is consistent with respect to this model, if the following condition is met:

 $hb|_{loc} \cup rf \cup co \cup rb$  is acyclic relation.

### Task 1 (4 points)

Prove that the definition of the simplified C/C++ consistency given above is equivalent to the following condition:

hb; eco is irreflexive relation.

Hint: you might find useful the following equality:

$$(r_1 \cup r_2)^+ \triangleq r_1^+ \cup r_1^*; (r_2; r_1^*)^+$$

where  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are two arbitrary relations.

Proof. TODO:

## Task 2 (4 points)

Consider another relation — *writes-before*, defined as follows:

 $\mathbf{wb} \triangleq [\mathbf{W}]; (\mathtt{rf}^?; \mathtt{hb}|_{\mathtt{loc}}; (\mathtt{rf}^{-1})^?) \setminus \mathtt{id}; [\mathbf{W}]$ 

where  $id \triangleq \{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in E\}$  is an identity relation, and  $r^? \triangleq id \cup r$  is a reflexive closure of a relation.

Assuming that the given execution graph does not contain read-modifywrite (RMW) events, prove that the definition of the simplified C/C++consistency is also equivalent to the following condition:

wb is acyclic relation

**Hint:** try to show that  $wb \subseteq co$ .

Proof. TODO:

# Task 3 (2 points)

Consider the problem of checking whether the given execution graph G is consistent (with respect to the simplified C/C++ consistency model), assuming that the G.co relation is unknown.

Answer the following questions:

- 1. What graph algorithm can be used to solve this problem (i.e., to check consistency of the graph G).
- 2. What definition of consistency, among the three equivalent given above, is the most suitable for this algoritm and gives the best time complexity for the overall procedure of consistency checking?

**TODO:** 

# References

- O. Lahav, N. Giannarakis, and V. Vafeiadis. Taming release-acquire consistency. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, 51(1):649–662, 2016.
- [2] O. Lahav, V. Vafeiadis, J. Kang, C.-K. Hur, and D. Dreyer. Repairing sequential consistency in c/c++ 11. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, 52(6):618– 632, 2017.